Design and control for Bidirectional AC-DC Converters for Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle

R.Navaneethan, R.Illango, V.Prab aharan

Abstract- Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) are specialized hybrid electric vehicles that have the potential to obtain enough energy for average daily commuting from batteries. These batteries would be charged from the power grid and would thus allow for a reduction in the overall petroleum consumption. To implement the plug-in function, a single phase bidirectional ac-dc converter interfacing with the grid is essential. The implementation of a bidirectional ac-dc converter can allow for battery recharge from the grid, battery energy injection to the ac grid, and battery energy for ac power stabilization. In this paper, the basic requirements and specifications for PHEV bidirectional ac- dc converter designs are presented. Generally, there are two types of topologies independent topology and a used for **PHEVs:** an combination topology that utilizes the drive motor's inverter. Evaluations of the two converter topologies are analyzed in detail. The combination topology analysis is emphasized because it has more advantages in PHEVs, in respect to savings in cost, volume and weight.

Index Terms— Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle, bidirectional ac- dc converter, battery charger, recharge mode and inverter mode.

I. INTRODUCTION

A plug-in hybrid electrical vehicle (PHEV) is an electric-drive hybrid vehicle with an all electric operating range. It combines batteries and internal combustion engines in an efficient manner. A PHEV uses electricity while the battery charge is in a high state. The average daily driving distance is 20-30 miles . Primarily, the PHEV is designed to meet the daily driving requirements while only using electricity. At the same time, the PHEV provides a fuel tank and combustion engine to be used when an extended driving range is needed. Current battery technology allows a vehicle to have a battery capacity that is equivalent to 10 to 60 miles of driving. This leads to the requirement of interface to the grid (through wall outlet). A battery charger is essential for PHEV. The battery charger should have two main functions: one is charging the battery to a proper state of charge (SOC), which will vary depending on battery chemistry but is considered to be near 100% for simplicity in this paper. This operation mode is called *recharge mode*. The other operation mode is called *inverter mode*, which means the battery energy can be inverted and flows back to the grid or for possibly supplying ac electricity locally. Therefore, the battery charger is a bidirectional ac-dc converter, recharge mode is ac to dc

Ilango, Professor, EEE department, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India.

conversion and *inverter mode* is dc to ac conversion.

There are two types of battery chargers: off-board and on-board. An off-board charger is separated from the PHEV and can allow for higher weight and volume at a lower cost to PHEV efficiency. In the PHEVs product development, the cost, volume and weight of the power electronics and electric machine (PEEM) system are important. The bidirectional ac-dc converter belongs to this PEEM system. In the reduction targets are provided. Based on the present PHEV baseline, the cost, weight and volume of PEEM will reduce 46%, 20% and 36% respectively till 2010; 66%, 29% and 52% till 2015; 77%, 39% and 58% till 2020. Onboard charger design will affect the reduction targets. On-board charger is designed to combine with the whole PHEV system, which can benefit from the system optimization consideration; this can lead to higher performance and lower cost. The on- board charger will be discussed in this paper.

Several bidirectional ac-dc converter topologies can be used as the PHEV battery charger. The specific topology chosen depends on the PHEV requirements, for example the efficiency, reliability, cost, volume and weight. There are two strategies for the bidirectional ac-dc converter design: one is that the bidirectional converter separates from the driving system. The other one is to combine the motor driving inverter with the converter. In section II, the general requirement to the bidirectional ac- dc converter is analyzed, which includes typical PHEV car prototypes under developed at present by companies and research institutes, battery power/energy requirement and typical PHEV bidirectional ac-dc converter profile. Roughly speaking, there are two types: one is independent of PHEV motor driving system; the other is the combination with the PHEV motor driving system. In section III, the operation modes of different topologies are given and the evaluations are provided, two possible PHEV bidirectional ac-dc converter topologies are proposed by the author. Finally, draws a final conclusion.

II. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

A. PHEV Operation Duty Cycle

As mentioned above, PHEVs are designed for the majority of typical daily driving distance requirement. PHEVs have fuel tanks and internal combustion engines for the needs of 10miles (PHEV10), 20miles longer trips. Recently, (PHEV20), 40miles (PHEV40) and 60miles (PHEV60) prototypes are under research by main vehicle manufacturers and related research institutes. In USA, the median daily travel distance is about 33 miles; 31-39% of annual miles are the "first 20 miles" of daily driving; 63-74% is "first 60 miles"; average vehicle travel ~3.5 trips per day; most of the daily trips are less than 10 miles; 10% of vehicles travel >100 miles daily. Fig. 1 is the typical PHEV Operation duty cycle. There are three operation modes:

Navaneethan R, final year PG student, Power Electronics and drives, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India.

Prabaharan, Assistant Professor, EEE department, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India.

1) Charge Depletion (CD) Mode

During this operation mode, the net energy stored in batteries will decrease over a driving profile. The depletion process will be ended at about 20% SOC.

2) Charge Sustaining (CS) Mode

During this operation mode, the net energy stored in batteries may increase and decrease over a driving profile. However, by the end of operation duty cycle the energy stored in batteries will be the same as that of at the beginning of the period.

3) Regular Recharge Mode

In this mode, the batteries will be recharged by plug-in outlet. The grid ac energy is then converted to dc energy stored in the batteries. Usually, the recharge mode will be ended at 100% SOC.

B. Battery Power/Energy Requirements

Different kinds of vehicles have different requirements of batteries power/energy. Fig. 2 is the comparison among midsized HEV, PHEV and EV cars . It can be seen that in PHEV, battery energy needed is less than that of EV and greater than HEV, which is in the range ~5-10kWh, that depends on the driving distance supporting by the battery energy. It is easy to know the power/energy (P/E) ratio of different kinds of vehicles for the same acceleration time periods. Typically, the PHEV P/E ratio is about ~5-18. For different kinds of vehicles, the energy requirements of electric driving are about: compact—


0.26kWh/mil, midsize—0.30kWh/mil, SUV/Vans—0.38kWh/mil and full-size SUV—0.46kWh/mil.

C. Typical PHEV Bidirectional AC-DC Converter Profile

Considering the power electronics device efficiency, the motor inverter bus voltage is about: 200~400Vdc. In order to improve the efficiency further, higher bus voltage on the order of ~750V is proposed in some HEV prototypes.

Compared with battery electric vehicles (BEVs) and electric vehicles (EVs), PHEVs do not need fast charging because of the relatively smaller battery capacity in the PHEVs as well as the use of internal combustion engine and fuel tank for unexpected charging scenarios PHEVs can be recharged during the whole night or during the day when they are parked. The time periods for PHEVs recharging can last several hours. Thus the power ratings of the bidirectional ac-dc converters will be lower than the battery charger used in BEVs and EVs. Roughly, there are three bidirectional ac-dc converter arrays for selections [6]: (1) 120 VAC, 15 amp (~1.4 kW); (2).120 VAC, 20 amp (~2.0 kW); (3) 208/240 VAC, 30amp (~6 kW).

Table I gives the example of PHEV20 typical bidirectional ac-dc converter profile, which includes battery capacity, converter (charger) ratings and recharging time of four car models. it is interesting to note that parameters of power ratings and charging time may be conditioned by 1.2-1.4kW and 1or 2 hours respectively.






Figure 2. Battery Power/Energy Requirements; kWh: Battery energy for midsize car, CS: Charge Sustaining, CD: Charge Depleting

 TABLE I.
 PHEV20 TYPICAL BATTERY CHARGER PROFILE

PHEV 20 Vehicles	Pack Size	Charger Circuit	Charging Time 20% SOC
Compact Sedan	5.1kWh	120VAC/15A	3.9-5.4hrs
Mid-size Sedan	5.9kWh	120VAC/15A	4.4-5.9hrs
Mid-size SUV	7.7kWh	120VAC/15A	5.4-7.1hrs
Full-size SUV	9.3kWh	120VAC/15A	6.3-8.2hrs

D. Grid Connection and Other Requirements

In recharge operation mode, since the bidirectional ac- dc converter operates as a nonlinear load on the grid, the related standards should be met, such as safety, reliability, EMC and harmonics requirements. The detailed PHEV battery charger requirements are listed in. From the recharge time from any SOC to 100% SOC should be less than 12 hours, and the preferred time should be less than 8 hours. The charger should operate correctly under the PHEV temperature environment: (1).Air temperature:

20°F to +120°F; Paved surface temperatures: up to 150°F; (3). Occupant compartment temperatures: up to 170°F. The charger should operate normally under 120V or 208/240V single phase 60Hz ac source, with $\pm 10\%$ tolerance at rated input voltage. The applicable sections of UL Standards 2231-1 and 2231-2 should be met for the personnel protection.

The power factor should be not less than 0.95 and the THD (total harmonic distortion) current should be no more than 20% at rated load. In inverter operation mode, for the battery energy depletion, if the battery energy is feedback to the grid, then the bidirectional ac-dc converter is served as grid connected inverter. Then all the grid connection inverter standards should be satisfied by the bidirectional

converter,Other aspects to be considered during the bidirectional ac- dc converter design include the efficiency, cost, volume and weight.

III. TYPICAL TOPOLOGIES ANALYSIS

Generally, a PHEV battery charger not only includes a bidirectional ac-dc converter, it also includes an EMI filter, and/or isolation transformer, control circuits unit and software. Since this paper focuses on the bidirectional ac-dc converter unit, more attention has been paid to the ac-dc bidirectional converter topologies. Based on the connection with the motor power electronics unit of PHEV, the topologies can be classified to two types: independent circuit topology and combination circuit topology.

A. Independent Circuit Topology

Fig. 3 is the block diagram of the independent circuit topology, which indicates the bidirectional ac-dc converter is an independent circuit unit. There is no relationship with the motor driving inverter. As shown in Fig. 3, the bidirectional converter which is parallel with the motor driving inverter is connected to the battery bus.

Several kinds of bidirectional ac-dc converters can be used for this topology . Fig. 4 is a full bridge bidirectional ac-dc converter application. By implementing proper control strategy, the full bridge bidirectional converter can be operated in battery charge mode (ac-dc rectifier mode) or in inverter mode (dc-ac mode) respectively. In the battery charge mode, the line current is in phase with the line voltage. Thus the input power factor is unity. In the inverter mode, the output can be connected to the utility grid or ac load. By using the instantaneous voltage control and the average voltage control techniques, the ac output voltage is sinusoidal. If it is connected with the utility grid, the amplitude, frequency and phase of output voltage will be the same as the grid voltage (by synchronous circuit). In the bidirectional ac-dc converter topology is applied to implement a small battery energy storage system (BESS). The dc side is connected to a 12Vx12 battery bank and the ac side is connected to an air conditioner. During the daily peak load period, the BESS supplies power to the air conditioner. At night, the BESS charges the battery. The steady-state voltage and current waveforms are which show that the bidirectional ac-dc converter topology can be used in PHEV as an independent battery charger.

Since the bidirectional ac-dc converter is independent of motor drive inverter, the converter components such as power switches, capacitors and inductors can be easily designed. Further, the implementations of battery management and converter control strategies are simpler than the combination topology.




Figure 3. Independent battery charger topology




Figure 4. Bidirectional ac-dc converter as battery charger

On the other hand, there are obvious disadvantages. Since the bidirectional ac-dc converter is design independent of the motor driving system, this increases the components which lead to higher cost and larger volume/ weight.

B. Combination Circuit Topology

When batteries are recharged or operate in inverter mode for battery depletion, the PHEV motor is in off the state, thus the motor driving inverter is off. One can use the motor driving system (includes motor driving inverter and motor windings) to complete the function of the bidirectional ac-dc converter. Different topologies have been reported. Fig. 5 is the combination topology which means that there is no independent bidirectional ac-dc converter. In this topology, the motor winding(s) is used for the boost energy storage inductor (in ac-dc battery recharge mode), whereas, in dc-ac inverter mode, the motor winding(s) is used as the filter inductor. At the same time, the motor drive inverter is served as the bidirectional ac-dc converter. For this topology, there are two sub-types: two motor-driving- inverters system and one motor-driving-inverter system.




Figure 5. Combined battery charger topology

1) Topology of Two Motor-Driving-Inverters with Two Motors

Fig. 6 is the typical two-motor driving system topology. There are two motors M1 & M1'and two motor driving inverters A &A'. In, the similar topology is given. During the motor driving mode, the contactors K1, K2 and K3 are all turned off, while during battery recharge and inverter modes, the contactors K1, K2 and K3 are all closed.

To accomplish the bidirectional ac-dc converter functions, the two switches in one leg of each motor inverter are controlled on and/or off, and the other switches in other two legs should be in the off states. The two controlled legs of the two inverters are composed of the full bridge bidirectional ac-dc converter (the related two windings are served as energy storage inductors/filter inductors). As an example, one can let S3&S4, S5&S6, S3'&S4' and S5'&S6' off, while S1&S2 and S1'&S2' are controlled on/off. The motor windings L1 and L1' are used for the energy storage inductors/filter inductors. Similarly, on the proper control basis, in battery recharge mode, the line current can be controlled in phase with the line voltage (Power factor is close to unity and harmonics is low); in grid connection inverter mode, the output voltage can be controlled in phase with the grid voltage, while the amplitude is the same as the grid voltage.

2) Topology of Two Motor-Driving-Inverters with One Motor

In one-motor driving system topology is presented, which is similar to Fig. 7. In Fig. 7, motor M has two sets connecting to the two inverters respectively. The operation principle is the same as the two-motor driving system topology.

To summarize case 1) and case 2), since the bidirectional ac-dc converter is the combination of the motor inverter and motor windings, there is no need other additional components. The cost is saved and the volume and weight are less than the independent topology.

The main disadvantage is the control complexity of the battery and the bidirectional ac-dc converter operation modes. Fortunately, most of the control work can be accomplished by software. Since the motor parameters and the motor driving inverts are designed based on the whole PHEV system, the components optimization is for the whole motor driving system, thus not based on the bidirectional ac-dc converter operation modes. However this will not affect the reliability and efficiency since the inverter power ratings are greater than the battery recharge mode/inverter mode requirements.

3) Topology of One Motor-Driving-Inverter System

Fig. 8 depicts the topology of a one-motor driving system . During the motor driving mode, contactor K1 is closed and contactors K2 & K3 are opened, while during battery recharge/ inverter modes, contactor K1 is opened and contactors K2 & K3 are closed. Inverter switches S5&S6 and S1&S2 (or S3&S4) are controlled on/off, which composed of full bridge bidirectional ac-dc converter. Motor windings L3 and L1 (or L2) are served as the energy storage inductor/filter inductor. The battery management and bidirectional operation modes are the same as that of the above topologies.




Figure 7. One motor-driving-inverter system




Figure 8. One motor-driving-inverter system

To evaluate the performance of the proposed converter, simulations have been performed by the use of MATLAB/ SIMULINK software based on the before mentioned system equations. These simulations are carried out on three-phase induction motor, and the motor parameters are mentioned in the Appendix A. The battery package parameter is shown in Appendix A. Figure 7 shows the performance of the proposed system when the PSO is applied side-by-side IFOC. Furthermore, the dynamic response of the battery and the bidirectional DC/DC converter are shown in Fig.8. Figure 9 illustrates the comparative efficiency between IFOC and IFOC based on PSO. Figure 10 and Fig. 11 show the performance of the proposed configuration when the PRC is applied at battery charging from grid. As shown in Fig.11, The input current THD is around 2.72 % with unity power factor (UPF).




Fig.9. the comparative efficiency between IFOC and IFOC based PSO at rated speed (1460 rpm)




Fig.10. Unity power factor of the grid side voltage and the input current at charging mode with PRC controller




Fig.11. The reference input current and the actual input current at charging mode with PRC controller

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a novel bidirectional DC/AC, Eight-Switch Inverter (ESI), and interleaved DC/DC converter are proposed to achieve an integrated power electronics interface for PHEV applications. The proposed ESI has been analyzed and its performance characteristics have been presented. The functionalities for the four operating modes (i.e., DC/AC inverter for motoring mode, AC/DC converter for braking mode, single-phase AC/DC PWM rectifier for battery charger and single-phase DC/AC inverter for V2G) have been verified. Moreover, the bidirectional interleaved DC/DC converter and its control are proposed to maintain the DCLink voltage and to reduce the input/output ripples with high efficiency (around 95%). In addition, the motor can operate with high performance after using IFOC based PSO at any operating point. Furthermore, The Proportional-Resonant Controller provides an efficient control to reduce the input current THD to be 2.72 % for battery charger mode as well as V2G. Finally, the results have demonstrated that the proposed configuration, PEI, has achieved all the operating modes successfully and it promises significant savings in component count with high performance for PHEVs compared with other topologies. Therefore, it can be expected that this study can be utilized for development of high efficiency PHEV system. The experimental implementation to evaluate the proposed system will be performed in the near future as well.

REFERENCES

- [1]W. Kramer, S. Chakraborty, B. Kroposki, and H. Thomas," Advanced Power Electronic Interfaces for Distributed Energy Systems, Part 1: Systems and Topologies", Technical Report, FY2008.
- [2] Jonn Axsen, Andrew Burke, and Ken Kurani, "Batteries for Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs)": Goals and the State of Technology circa 2008.
- [3]Lisheng Shi, Andrew Meintz, and Mehdi Ferdows, "Single-Phase Bidirectional AC-DC Converters for Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle

International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences (IJEAS) ISSN: 2394-3661, Volume-2, Issue-5, May 2015

Applications", IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC), September 3-5, 2008, Harbin, China.

- [4] Young-Joo Lee, Alireza Khaligh and Ali Emadi "Advanced Integrated Bidirectional AC/DC and DC/DC Converter for Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 58, No. 8, October 2009, pp 3970-3980.
- [5]Saeid Haghbin, Kashif Khan, Sonja Lundmark, Mats Alakula, Ola Carlson, Mats Leksell and Oskar Wallmark, "Integrated Chargers for EVs and PHEVs: Examples and New Solutions", IEEE International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM) proceedings, 6-8 Sept. 2010, Italy.
- [6]S. Lacroix, E. Laboure, M. Hilairet, "An Integrated Fast Battery Charger for Electric Vehicle", Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, IEEE VPPC 2010, Lille, France 2010, 1-3 Sept.
- [7]D. C. Erb, O. C. Onar, A. Khaligh, "Bi-directional charging topologies for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles," in Proc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition. 2010, Palm Springs, California, pp. 2066-2072.
- [8]Gyu-Yeong Choe, Jong-Soo Kim, Byoung-Kuk Lee, Chung-Yuen Won, Tea- Won Lee, "A Bi-directional Battery Charger for Electric Vehicles Using Photovoltaic PCS Systems", Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, IEEE VPPC 2010, Lille, France 2010, 1-3 Sept.
- [9]Haiping Xu, Xuhui Wen, Li Kong," Dual-Phase DC-DC Converter in Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles", 9th IEEE International Power Electronics Congress, 2004. CIEP.
- [10] Marian. K., Kazimierczuk, "Pulse-width Modulated DC–DC Power Converters", 2008 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- [11]Kheldoun Aissa, and Khodja Djalal Eddine, "Vector Control Using Series Iron Loss Model of Induction, Motors and Power Loss Minimization", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 52 2009.
- [12] Omar Hegazy, Joeri Van Mierlo, Bavo Verbrugge and Omar Ellabban, "Optimal Power Sharing and Design Optimization for Fuel Cell/Battery Hybrid Electric Vehicles Based on Swarm Intelligence", The 25th World Battery, Hybrid and Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Symposium & Exhibition, EVS-25 Shenzhen, China, Nov. 5-9, 2010.
- [13] Rąbkowski J.: Grid-connected Z-source inverter with resonant controller, Proc. Of Nordic Workshop of Power and Industrial Electronics, 12-14 June, Lund, Sweden, 2006.

Navaneethan R, final year PG student, Power Electronics and drives, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India.

Ilango, Professor, EEE department, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India.

Prabaharan, Assistant Professor, EEE department, MAM school of engineering, Trichy, India